

APPLICATION NO.

10/602,394

23557

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

06/23/2003 Carrie Haskell-Luevano UF-375 1696

12/23/2005 EXAMINER

LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R

SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950

7590

1654

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	AT
CONTROL NO.	6/23/2003	PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	UF
10602394		Carrie Haskell-Luevano	

TTORNEY DOCKET NO.

IF-375

EXAMINER

Satyanarayana Gudibande

ART UNIT PAPER

1654

20051209

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Applicant's election without traverse of group I invention, claims 1-8 and 13-20 in the reply filed on October 21, 2005 is acknowledged.

The amino acid sequence of "AGRP(109-118)" is not defined in the claims. The AGRP protein could exist in many different species, with different residues present in the recited fragment obtained from different species. Furthermore, since one or all of the melanocortin sequence residues can be substituted, it is not clear how the melanocortin sequences are "analogous" to the AGRP sequences. In summary, the claims recite an undefined amino acid sequence in which portion of the sequence has been substituted with another undefined sequence. Therefore, the claims are too indefinite to allow a meaningful search to be made.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment, which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this letter.

BRUCE H. LAWIEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Bruce R. Cam SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Bruce Campell